JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL & ENGINEERING DATA

Conductometric Studies of 1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium Tetrafluoroborate and 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Tetrafluoroborate in *N*,*N*-Dimethylformamide at Temperatures from (283.15 to 318.15) K

Agnieszka Boruń and Adam Bald*

Department of Physical Chemistry of Solutions, University of Łódź, 90-236 Łódź, Pomorska 163, Poland



ABSTRACT: The electrical conductances of dilute solutions of the ionic liquids 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate [emim]BF₄ and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate [bmim]BF₄ in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide have been measured over the temperature range from (283.15 to 318.15) K. The ionic association constant, K_A , limiting molar conductivities, Λ_o , and distance parameters, *R*, were obtained using the Fuoss–Justice equation for the investigated systems. Slight ion association effect was found for the ionic liquids in DMF at all experimental temperatures. From the temperature dependence of the limiting molar conductivities the Eyring's activation enthalpy of charge transport was estimated. The thermodynamic functions such as Gibbs free energy, entropy, and enthalpy for the process of ion pair formation were calculated from the temperature dependence of the ion association constants.

INTRODUCTION

In our previous works, we investigated the conductivity properties of several electrolytes in N,N-dimethylformamide.^{1–5} Now, we decided to extend these studies to a new class of electrolytes such as ionic liquids.

Ionic liquids (ILs) are a promising class of new materials with a bright technological future. Current interest in ILs stems primarily from the utility of ionic liquids as solvents or catalysts for a variety of reactions.^{6–9} Ionic liquids offer a great opportunity to be used in reactions involving inorganic and bio catalysis and separations including distillations, extraction, liquid—liquid partitioning, gas separations, liquid crystals, etc. They are used as heat transfer fluids, for processing biomass and as electrically conductive liquids in electrochemistry (batteries and solar cells).^{10–12} ILs are liquid at ambient temperatures, have high electrolytic conductivity and very low vapor pressure at room temperature,¹³ they show selective solubility of water and organics and have stable liquid range of over 300 K. Therefore, they can replace many volatile organic solvents in chemical processes.

The physical and chemical properties of ionic liquids are determined by the choice of the cations and anions selected, for example, the anion shows a primary effect on water miscibility.^{14,15} ILs are miscible with liquids of medium to high dielectric constant and immiscible with liquids with low-dielectric constant.¹⁴ Most commonly used ILs are those with 1,3-dialkylimidazolium, *N*-alkylpyridinium, or tetraalkylammonium cations and anions including halide ions, tetrafluoroborate, tetrachloroaluminate, hexafluorophosphate, or trifluoromethylsulfonate.

A survey of literature indicates that physical properties of pure ionic liquids have been studied extensively, but the thermophysical and thermodynamic properties of ILs, and in particular, their aqueous or organic mixtures have not been studied in a systematic way so far. Some studies report the specific conductivity data for pure ionic liquids^{16–18} or binary or ternary mixtures of IL with various solvents.^{15,16,19–28} Often, however, the temperature ranges and concentration regions measured have been rather limited, but only a few conductivity studies concerned the ionic association in IL solutions.^{29–37}

Received:October 4, 2011Accepted:January 9, 2012Published:January 27, 2012

From these papers, results that the alkyl chain length of the cation, type of anion, and physical properties of the molecular solvents affect the ionic association constants.

In this article, we present precise conductometric studies of dilute solutions of [emim]BF₄ and [bmim]BF₄ in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide at T = (283.15 to 318.15) K. From the experimental data, the values of the limiting molar conductivities, Λ_{o} , and the association constants, K_{A} , have been obtained for the investigated mixtures. The Gibbs free energy, ΔG_{A}^{o} , enthalpy, ΔH_{A}^{o} , and entropy, ΔS_{A}^{o} , of ion pair formation as well as the Eyring activation enthalpy of charge transport, ΔH_{4}^{\dagger} , have been evaluated.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Data on the reagents used are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Specification of Chemical Samples

| chemical name | source | initial mass fraction purity | purification method | final water mass fraction |
|---|---------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| DMF^{a} | Aldrich | 0.998 | none | 0.00005 ^d |
| $[\operatorname{emim}]_{[\operatorname{BF}_4]^b}$ | Fluka | 0.990 | none | < 0.0002 ^d |
| $\begin{bmatrix} bmim \end{bmatrix}$ | Fluka | 0.985 | none | < 0.00015 ^e < 0.0005 ^d |
| | | | | $< 0.0004^{e}$ |

^{*a*}DMF = N,N-dimethylmethanamide (N,N-dimethylformamide). ^{*b*}[emim][BF₄] = 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate. ^{*c*}[bmim][BF₄] = 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate. ^{*d*}Manufacturer's analysis. ^{*e*}Our analysis (Karl Fischer coulometric titration).

All the solutions were prepared by mass using an analytical balance (Sartorius RC 210D) with a precision of $\pm 1.10^{-5}$ g.

The measurement procedure was based on the method described by Bešter-Rogač et al.^{37,38} Conductivity measurements were performed with a three-electrode cell with the use of a Precise Component Analyzer type 6430B (Wayne-Kerr, UK) under argon atmosphere and at the different frequencies, ν , (0.2, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, and 20) kHz. The temperature was kept constant within 0.003 K (Calibration Thermostat Ultra UB 20F with Through-flow cooler DLK 25, Lauda, Germany). The cell was calibrated with aqueous KCl solutions.³⁹ All measured conductance values, $\lambda = 1/R_{\infty}$, were the results of an extrapolation of the cell resistance, $R(\nu)$, to infinite frequency, $R_{\infty} = \lim_{\nu \to \infty} R(\nu)$ using the empirical function $R(\nu) = R_{\infty} + A/\nu$, where parameter A is specific to the cell. All data were corrected with the specific conductance of the solvent.

Densities were measured with an Anton Paar DMA 5000 oscillating U-tube densimeter equipped with a thermostat with a temperature stability within \pm 0.001 K. The densimeter was calibrated with extra pure water, previously degassed ultrasonically.

Viscosities were measured with a AVS 350 viscosimeter (Schott Geräte, Germany). The viscosimeter filled with the liquid was placed vertically in a glass-sided water thermostat. An optoelectronic stopwatch with a precision of 0.01 s was used for flow time measurements. The temperature was kept constant using a precision thermostat Julabo F32 (Julabo Labortechnik GmbH, Germany). The accuracy of temperature control was 0.01 K.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The densities, viscosities, and relative permittivities of DMF as a function of temperature are listed in Table 2. The values of relative permittivities were taken from the literature.⁴⁰

Table 2. Densities, ρ_o , Viscosities, η , and Relative Permittivities, ε_r , of N,N-Dimethylformamide as a Function of Temperature, T, at Pressure $p = 0.1 \text{ MPa}^a$

| T/K | $ ho_{ m o}/{ m g}{ m cm}^{-3}$ | $\eta/\mathrm{mPa}\cdot\mathrm{s}$ | ε_r^{35} |
|--------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 283.15 | 0.958096 | 1.0158 | 39.61 |
| 288.15 | 0.953346 | 0.9545 | 38.68 |
| 293.15 | 0.948584 | 0.8985 | 37.75 |
| 298.15 | 0.943817 | 0.8455 | 36.81 |
| 303.15 | 0.939042 | 0.7990 | 35.88 |
| 308.15 | 0.934255 | 0.7553 | 34.95 |
| 313.15 | 0.929458 | 0.7172 | 34.01 |
| 318.15 | 0.924650 | 0.6826 | 33.07 |

^aStandard uncertainties *u* are u(T) = 0.01 K, u(p) = 0.05p, and the combined expanded uncertainties U_c are $U_c(\rho_o) = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$ g·cm⁻³, and $U_c(\eta) = 0.0030$ mPa·s (level of confidence = 0.95).

To convert molonity, \tilde{m} (moles of electrolyte per kilogram of solution), into molarity, c, the values of density gradients, b, were determined from the equation

$$c/\tilde{m} = \rho = \rho_0 + b\tilde{m} \tag{1}$$

where $\rho_{\rm o}$ is the density of the solvent. Molar concentrations, *c*, were necessary to use the conductivity equation. The density gradients and the molar conductivities of the ILs in solution, Λ , as a function of IL molality, *m* (moles of electrolyte per kilogram of solvent), and temperature are presented in Table 3.

The plot of molar conductances, Λ , versus square root of the molar concentration, $c^{1/2}$, for the investigated systems monotonically decreases as shown in Figures 1 and 2.

The conductivity data were analyzed by means of the Fuoss–Justice equation 41,42 in the form

$$\Lambda = \alpha [\Lambda_o - S(\alpha c)^{1/2} + E(\alpha c) \ln(\alpha c) + J(\alpha c) + J_{3/2}(\alpha c)^{3/2}]$$
(2)

together with

$$K_{\rm A} = (1 - \alpha) / (\alpha^2 c y_{\pm}^2) \tag{3}$$

and

$$\ln y_{\pm} = -(A\alpha^{1/2}c^{1/2})/(1 + BR\alpha^{1/2}c^{1/2})$$
(4)

In these equations, Λ_o is the limiting molar conductance; α is the dissociation degree of an electrolyte; K_A is the ionic association constant; R is the distance parameter of ions; y_{\pm} is the activity coefficient of ions on the molar scale; A and B are the Debye–Hückel equation coefficients. The analytical form of the parameters S, E, J, and $J_{3/2}$ was presented previously.^{43–45} The values of Λ_o , K_{A_0} and R were obtained using the wellknown procedure given by Fuoss⁴² and are collected in Table 4.

As seen from Table 4, the association constants are practically negligible and one can assume that these electrolytes exist essentially as free ions in the DMF. Only a small part of these electrolytes exist in the undissociated form, and this tendency is slightly higher in the case of $[emim][BF_4]$. With increasing temperature, the association constants increase

Table 3. Molar Conductances, Λ , Corresponding Molalities, *m*, and Density Gradients, *b*, for Solutions of [emim][BF₄] and [bmim][BF₄] in DMF over the Temperature Range from (283.15 to 318.15) K at Pressure p = 0.1 MPa^{*a*}

| $m \cdot 1$ | 0^4 Λ | $m \cdot 10^4$ | Λ | $m \cdot 10^4$ | Λ | $m \cdot 10^4$ | Λ |
|------------------|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|--|
| mol·k | g^{-1} S·cm ² ·mol ⁻¹ | mol·kg ⁻¹ | S·cm ² ·mol ^{−1} | mol·kg ⁻¹ | S·cm ² ·mol ⁻¹ | mol·kg ⁻¹ | S·cm ² ·mol ^{−1} |
| | 8 | 0 | [em | nim][BF.] | | 0 | |
| | T = 283.15 K | <i>T</i> = | = 288.15 K | T | = 293.15 K | <i>T</i> = | = 298.15 K |
| <i>b</i> = | = 0.0646 kg ² ·dm ⁻³ ·mol ⁻¹ | b = 0.064 | 9 kg ² ·dm ^{−3} ·mol ^{−1} | b = 0.06 | 53 kg²⋅dm ⁻³ ⋅mol ⁻¹ | b = 0.065 | 6 kg ² ·dm ^{−3} ·mol ^{−1} |
| 1.0214 | 75.24 | 0.91589 | 80.75 | 1.2250 | 86.04 | 1.1325 | 91.67 |
| 2.8942 | 74.40 | 2.6952 | 79.83 | 2.9309 | 85.16 | 2.7045 | 90.77 |
| 4.6170 | 73.87 | 5.0978 | 79.01 | 4.9066 | 84.42 | 4.7297 | 89.93 |
| 7.0705 | 73.26 | 6.9319 | 78.51 | 7.2551 | 83.72 | 6.7192 | 89.26 |
| 11.577 | 72.38 | 11.678 | 77.46 | 11.772 | 82.63 | 11.511 | 87.98 |
| 18.765 | 71.28 | 19.153 | 76.19 | 18.922 | 81.30 | 19.323 | 86.39 |
| 29.085 | 70.01 | 28.865 | 74.89 | 29.108 | 79.81 | 29.096 | 84.86 |
| 39.104 | 68.99 | 39.337 | 73.74 | 39.320 | 78.60 | 38.824 | 83.61 |
| 60.465 | 67.24 | 59.141 | 71.99 | 59.790 | 76.68 | 59.571 | 81.52 |
| 80.432 | 65.96 | 79.753 | 70.57 | 79.788 | 75.20 | 79.120 | 79.96 |
| 100.22 | 64.92 | 99.370 | 69.44 | 99.546 | 73.98 | 99.610 | 78.59 |
| 120.09 | 64.03 | 120.50 | 68.40 | 119.28 | 72.92 | 119.98 | 77.42 |
| 149.05 | 62.86 | 148.72 | 67.15 | 148.02 | 71.55 | 148.54 | 75.98 |
| | T = 303.15 K | T = | = 308.15 K | T : | = 313.15 K | <i>T</i> = | = 318.15 K |
| <i>b</i> = | = 0.0660 kg ² ·dm ⁻³ ·mol ⁻¹ | b = 0.066 | 63 kg²·dm⁻³·mol⁻¹ | b = 0.060 | 67 kg²·dm⁻³·moГ¹ | b = 0.067 | ′1 kg²·dm⁻³·mol⁻¹ |
| 1.4329 | 97.09 | 1.0256 | 103.10 | 0.95186 | 108.95 | 1.0021 | 114.75 |
| 2.9762 | 96.22 | 3.6413 | 101.55 | 2.7747 | 107.72 | 2.7221 | 113.57 |
| 5.0695 | 95.32 | 5.0158 | 100.95 | 4.7200 | 106.77 | 4.2937 | 112.75 |
| 7.1357 | 94.60 | 6.6635 | 100.33 | 6.8358 | 105.92 | 6.8527 | 111.65 |
| 11.723 | 93.30 | 11.468 | 98.84 | 11.589 | 104.38 | 11.730 | 110.00 |
| 18.936 | 91.72 | 19.471 | 96.97 | 19.479 | 102.42 | 18.992 | 108.08 |
| 29.128 | 89.99 | 28.944 | 95.25 | 29.094 | 100.57 | 29.468 | 105.93 |
| 39.259 59.790 | 88.61 | 38.829 | 93./9 | 39.343 | 98.97 | 39.087 | 104.33 |
| 58./89 | 86.49 | 58.395 | 91.49 | 59.555 | 96.47 | 59.411 | 101.63 |
| /9.505 | 82.20 | 79.908 | 87.48 87.00 | 79.040 | 94.49 | /9.333 | 99.32 |
| 120.18 | 83.30 | 99./90 110.20 | 87.92 | 110.24 | 92.89 | 120.00 | 97.70 |
| 1/18 80 | 82.00 | 119.20 | 84.94 | 119.24 | 91. 4 7 80.68 | 149.36 | 90.23 |
| 140.09 | 80.50 | 140.50 | 64.94 [hm | 132.04 nim][BF.] | 87.00 | 149.50 | 97.30 |
| | T = 283.15 K | <i>T</i> = | = 288.15 K | T: | = 293.15 K | <i>T</i> = | = 298.15 K |
| <i>h</i> = | $= 0.0549 \text{ kg}^2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \cdot \text{mo}^{-1}$ | h = 0.053 | $6 \text{ kg}^2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ | h = 0.05 | $40 \text{ kg}^2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \cdot \text{mo}^{-1}$ | h = 0.056 | $10 \text{ kg}^2 \text{ dm}^{-3} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ |
| 0.55421 | 72.04 | 1.2892 | 77.13 | 1.1103 | 82.80 | 1.2462 | 88.22 |
| 2.9150 | 70.90 | 2.6276 | 76.44 | 2.9431 | 81.72 | 3.1993 | 87.00 |
| 4.8948 | 70.31 | 4.2705 | 75.81 | 4.4831 | 81.08 | 5.2696 | 86.12 |
| 6.9417 | 69.81 | 6.0693 | 75.26 | 6.9398 | 80.27 | 6.9097 | 85.55 |
| 11.534 | 68.93 | 10.218 | 74.27 | 12.175 | 78.99 | 11.746 | 84.24 |
| 19.379 | 67.77 | 16.973 | 73.06 | 19.957 | 77.59 | 19.262 | 82.73 |
| 29.273 | 66.62 | 25.458 | 71.88 | 29.351 | 76.28 | 29.295 | 81.21 |
| 38.877 | 65.70 | 34.542 | 70.86 | 39.369 | 75.15 | 39.557 | 79.96 |
| 59.031 | 64.15 | 51.791 | 69.29 | 59.164 | 73.36 | 59.535 | 78.02 |
| 80.045 | 62.88 | 70.431 | 67.94 | 79.333 | 71.90 | 80.437 | 76.40 |
| 99.644 | 61.89 | 87.721 | 66.89 | 100.95 | 70.59 | 99.994 | 75.12 |
| 120.04 | 61.00 | 118.59 | 65.33 | 120.08 | 69.60 | 120.48 | 73.97 |
| 148.52 | 59.90 | 149.18 | 64.08 | 149.48 | 68.33 | 148.99 | 72.65 |
| | T = 303.15 K | <i>T</i> = | = 308.15 K | T : | = 313.15 K | <i>T</i> = | = 318.15 K |
| <i>b</i> = | = 0.0598 kg²⋅dm ^{−3} ⋅mol ^{−1} | b = 0.065 | 53 kg²·dm⁻³·mol⁻¹ | b = 0.072 | $25 \text{ kg}^2 \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \cdot \text{mo}^{-1}$ | b = 0.081 | .4 kg²·dm⁻³·mol⁻¹ |
| 1.0366 | 93.90 | 0.57631 | 99.91 | 0.90630 | 104.96 | 0.94008 | 110.33 |
| 2.8140 | 92.62 | 2.4474 | 98.26 | 2.9522 | 103.37 | 2.7908 | 108.87 |
| 4.9403 | 91.60 | 4.4950 | 97.16 | 4.8676 | 102.38 | 4.5255 | 107.94 |
| 7.407/4 | 90.69 | 0.8215 | 96.21 | 7.0148 | 101.50 | 0.4081 | 107.09 |
| 11.925 | 89.40 | 11.834 | 94.07 | 11.//3 | 99.97 | 11.440 | 105.40 |
| 18.802 | 87.90 86.21 | 19.332 | 92.95 | 19.309 | 98.11 | 18.905 | 103.47 |
| 27.120 | 00.21 | 27.112 | 71.2 4 90.91 | 27.400 | 90.20 | 27.001 | 101.47 |
| 61.074 | 82.61 | 59.190 | 87.56 | 59.119 | 97.42 | 59.171 | 97.30 |

Table 3. continued

| $m \cdot 10^4$ | Λ | $m \cdot 10^4$ | Λ | $m \cdot 10^4$ | Λ | $m \cdot 10^4$ | Λ |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| mol·kg ⁻¹ | S·cm ² ·mo ^{−1} | mol·kg ⁻¹ | S·cm ² ·mo ^{−1} | mol·kg ⁻¹ | S·cm ² ·moΓ ¹ | mol·kg ⁻¹ | S·cm ² ·moΓ ¹ |
| [bmim][BF ₄] | | | | | | | |
| 79.744 | 81.07 | 80.077 | 85.73 | 79.247 | 90.53 | 79.797 | 95.27 |
| 99.932 | 79.66 | 99.970 | 84.26 | 99.596 | 88.95 | 99.455 | 93.68 |
| 119.46 | 78.49 | 120.12 | 82.98 | 119.91 | 87.59 | 119.46 | 92.27 |
| 149.22 | 76.99 | 149.00 | 81.40 | 151.06 | 85.74 | 148.80 | 90.38 |

^aStandard uncertainties u are u(T) = 0.01 K, u(p) = 0.05p, $u(m) = 10^{-5}m$, and the combined expanded uncertainty U_c is $U_c(\Lambda) = 0.02 - 0.1$ S·cm²·mol⁻¹ (level of confidence = 0.95).



c⁻⁻/(mor⁻ um⁻)⁻⁻

Figure 1. Molar conductance, Λ , of [emim]BF₄ solutions in DMF versus $c^{1/2}$ at experimental temperature: O, 283.15 K; \Box , 288.15 K; \blacksquare , 293.15 K; \times , 298.15 K; \blacktriangle , 303.15 K; \bullet , 308.15 K; \blacklozenge , 313.15 K; Δ , 318.15 K. Lines were generated using the Fuoss–Justice equation.



Figure 2. Molar conductance, Λ , of [bmim]BF₄ solutions in DMF versus $c^{1/2}$ at experimental temperatures: O, 283.15 K; \square , 288.15 K; \blacksquare , 293.15 K; ×, 298.15 K; \blacktriangle , 303.15 K; \blacklozenge , 308.15 K; \blacklozenge , 313.15 K; Δ , 318.15 K. Lines were generated using the Fuoss–Justice equation.

slightly. Similarly, the limiting molar conductances increase as the temperature increases since the mobility of free ions is higher. However, the values of Λ_0 for [emim][BF₄] are higher at about 4.6–4.8 units from those values for [bmim][BF₄]. This indicates that the Λ_0 values decrease with increasing alkyl chain length of the ILs. This phenomenon was also observed for [C_nmim]Br (n = 4, 6, 8, 10, 12) in various molecular solvents²⁹ for [C_nmim]Br (n = 4-6) in water,³³ and for [C_nmim]BF₄ (n =2–4) in propylene carbonate.³⁴ To our knowledge, the values of K_A and Λ_0 for studied ILs in DMF are not available in the literature; therefore, a direct comparison our values with literature ones is not possible.

Table 4. Limiting Molar Conductances, Λ_0 , Association Constants, K_A , Distance Parameters, R, and Standard Deviations, $\sigma(\Lambda)$, for the Investigated Ionic Liquids in DMF at Different Temperatures^{*a*}

| T/K | $\Lambda_{o}/S \cdot cm^{2} \cdot mol^{-1}$ | $K_{\rm A}/{\rm dm^3\cdot mol^{-1}}$ | R/nm | $\sigma(\Lambda)$ | | |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------------------------|------|-------------------|--|--|
| [emim]BF ₄ | | | | | | |
| 283.15 | 76.71 ± 0.03 | 10.48 ± 0.16 | 0.86 | 0.09 | | |
| 288.15 | 82.21 ± 0.03 | 10.75 ± 0.10 | 0.82 | 0.06 | | |
| 293.15 | 87.79 ± 0.02 | 11.10 ± 0.07 | 0.80 | 0.04 | | |
| 298.15 | 93.47 ± 0.02 | 11.30 ± 0.06 | 0.78 | 0.04 | | |
| 303.15 | 99.26 ± 0.02 | 11.57 ± 0.06 | 0.76 | 0.04 | | |
| 308.15 | 105.14 ± 0.03 | 12.06 ± 0.09 | 0.76 | 0.07 | | |
| 313.15 | 111.04 ± 0.05 | 12.29 ± 0.13 | 0.76 | 0.10 | | |
| 318.15 | 117.13 ± 0.06 | 12.72 ± 0.18 | 0.78 | 0.14 | | |
| | [1 | omim]BF ₄ | | | | |
| 283.15 | 73.12 ± 0.03 | 9.77 ± 0.12 | 0.86 | 0.07 | | |
| 288.15 | 78.69 ± 0.01 | 9.95 ± 0.04 | 0.76 | 0.02 | | |
| 293.15 | 84.26 ± 0.02 | 10.26 ± 0.07 | 0.72 | 0.04 | | |
| 298.15 | 89.83 ± 0.03 | 10.57 ± 0.10 | 0.71 | 0.07 | | |
| 303.15 | 95.45 ± 0.03 | 10.80 ± 0.10 | 0.64 | 0.07 | | |
| 308.15 | 101.09 ± 0.03 | 11.18 ± 0.10 | 0.65 | 0.07 | | |
| 313.15 | 106.71 ± 0.01 | 11.45 ± 0.04 | 0.71 | 0.03 | | |
| 318.15 | 112.35 ± 0.02 | 11.96 ± 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.04 | | |
| 'In all cases | $\Delta R = 0.05$ nm. | | | | | |

From the temperature dependence of Λ_{o} , the Eyring activation enthalpy of charge transport, $\Delta H_{\lambda}^{\ddagger}$, was obtained

$$\ln \Lambda_{\rm o} + 2/3 \ln \rho_{\rm o} = -\frac{\Delta H_{\lambda}^{\ddagger}}{RT} + B \tag{5}$$

where *B* is an empirical constant. From the slope of the linear function of $\ln \Lambda_o + 2/3 \ln \rho_o$ versus the inverse of the temperature (1/T), which is shown in Figure 3, we obtained $\Delta H_{\lambda}^{\ddagger}$ values. $\Delta H_{\lambda}^{\ddagger}$ values are 8541 J·mol⁻¹ and 8669 J·mol⁻¹ for [emim][BF₄] and [bmim][BF₄], respectively. For [bmim]-[BF₄], the value of $\Delta H_{\lambda}^{\ddagger}$ is thus higher by about 130 units. It is the result of the presence of a larger substituent in the [bmim]⁺ cation compared to [emim]⁺.

The temperature dependence of the association constant was used to calculate Gibbs free energy of ion formation, ΔG_A°

$$\Delta G_{\rm A}^{\rm o}(T) = -RT \ln K_{\rm A}(T) \tag{6}$$

 $\Delta G_A^o(T)$ can also be expressed by the polynomial

$$\Delta G_{\rm A}^{\rm o}(T) = A_{\rm o} + A_1 T + A_2 T^2 \tag{7}$$

The values of parameters A_0 , A_1 , and A_2 of eq 7 and correlation coefficients, r^2 , are summarized in Table 5.



Figure 3. Plot of $\ln \Lambda_0 + 2/3 \ln \rho_0$ as a function of 1/T for \blacksquare , [emim]BF₄, and \bullet , [bmim]BF₄ in DMF.

Table 5. Coefficients of Eq 7 and Correlation Coefficients, r^2 , for [emim][BF₄] and [bmim][BF₄] in DMF

| | $A_{\rm o}/{\rm kJ}{\cdot}{ m mo}\Gamma^1$ | $A_1/J \cdot mo\Gamma^1 \cdot K^{-1}$ | $A_2/J \cdot mo\Gamma^1 \cdot K^{-2}$ | r^2 |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| $[emim][BF_4]$ | -4.103 | 20.71 | -0.091 | 0.99924 |
| [bmim][BF ₄] | -7.940 | 47.47 | -0.136 | 0.99945 |

Entropy and enthalpy of ion association are defined as

$$\Delta S_{\rm A}^{\rm o} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Delta G_{\rm A}^{\rm o}}{\partial T}\right)_p = -A_1 - 2A_2T \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta H_{\rm A}^{\rm o} = \Delta G_{\rm A}^{\rm o} + T \Delta S_{\rm A}^{\rm o} = A_{\rm o} - A_2 T^2 \tag{9}$$

The thermodynamic functions of the ion pair formation (ΔG_A° , ΔS_A° , and ΔH_A°) at different temperatures are presented in Table 6 and in Figures 4, 5, and 6, respectively.



Figure 4. Variation of Gibbs free energy, $\Delta G_{A^{\prime}}^{\circ}$ as a function of temperature *T* of \blacksquare , [emim]BF₄, and \blacklozenge , [bmim]BF₄ in DMF.

The values of ΔG_A° presented in Table 6 and Figure 4 indicate that the spontaneity of the ion pair formation is higher in the case of salt containing the smaller cation, i.e., [emim][BF₄]. The increase of temperature leads to more negative ΔG_A° values, which means shifting the equilibrium toward the formation of ion pairs. As can be seen in Figures 5 and 6, both the values of entropy and enthalpy of association increase with increasing temperature for both tested electro-



Figure 5. Variation of association entropies, ΔS_{A}° , as a function of temperature of \blacksquare , [emim]BF₄, and \bullet , [bmim]BF₄ in DMF.



Figure 6. Variation of enthalpies, ΔH_A° , as a function of temperature of \blacksquare , [emim]BF₄, and \bullet , [bmim]BF₄ in DMF.

| Table 6. Thermodynamic Functions of Association of |
|---|
| [emim]BF ₄ and [bmim]BF ₄ Solutions in DMF at Different |
| Temperatures |

| Т | $\Delta G_{ m A}^{ m o}$ | $\Delta S_{ m A}^{ m o}$ | $\Delta H_{ m A}^{ m o}$ | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| K | J·mol ^{−1} | J·mol ^{−1} ·K ^{−1} | J·mol ^{−1} | | | | |
| | [emim]BF ₄ | | | | | | |
| 283.15 | -5530 | 30.8 | 3200 | | | | |
| 288.15 | -5690 | 31.7 | 3450 | | | | |
| 293.15 | -5870 | 32.6 | 3700 | | | | |
| 298.15 | -6010 | 33.6 | 3990 | | | | |
| 303.15 | -6170 | 34.5 | 4280 | | | | |
| 308.15 | -6380 | 35.4 | 4520 | | | | |
| 313.15 | -6530 | 36.3 | 4830 | | | | |
| 318.15 | -6730 | 37.2 | 5110 | | | | |
| $[bmim]BF_4$ | | | | | | | |
| 283.15 | -5370 | 29.3 | 2920 | | | | |
| 288.15 | -5500 | 30.6 | 3320 | | | | |
| 293.15 | -5680 | 32.0 | 3700 | | | | |
| 298.15 | -5850 | 33.3 | 4100 | | | | |
| 303.15 | -6000 | 34.7 | 4520 | | | | |
| 308.15 | -6190 | 36.1 | 4920 | | | | |
| 313.15 | -6350 | 37.4 | 5370 | | | | |
| 318.15 | -6560 | 38.8 | 5770 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

lytes. This increase is more pronounced in the case of salt containing the larger cation, i.e., $[bmim][BF_4]$. In both cases, the entropy values are positive, which means that as a result of

479

association, the arrangement of the system decreases in comparison with the arrangement associated with the solvation of free ions. This process increases with increasing temperature (slightly stronger in the case of [bmim][BF₄]). The positive values of ΔH_A indicate that the ion-pairing process is endothermic. At a temperature of 283.15 K, this process is more endothermic for [emim][BF₄], while at 318.15 K, it is more endothermic for [bmim][BF₄]. From eq 10,

$$\Delta G_{\rm A}^{\rm o}(T) = \Delta H_{\rm A}^{\rm o}(T) - TS_{\rm A}^{\rm o}(T) \tag{10}$$

gives results that show that the entropic effects seem to dominate over the enthalpic effects because the Gibbs free energy (ΔG_A^o) is negative, and thus the ion pair formation is exoergic in both cases.

CONCLUSIONS

Molar conductivities of solutions of ionic liquids, [emim]BF₄ and [bmim]BF₄ in DMF, have been reported at T = (283.15 to)318.15) K. The conductivity data have been analyzed using the Fuoss-Justice equation. Slight ionic association was observed for the ILs in DMF at all experimental temperatures. The K_A values increase as the temperature increases (with decreasing relative permittivity of the solvent) and decrease with an increase in the alkyl chain length of the ILs. The thermodynamic functions of association such as Gibbs free energy, enthalpy, and entropy for the ion pair formation process, as well as the Eyring activation enthalpy of charge transport, have been evaluated. The values of ΔH_A are positive and suggest that the ion-pairing process is endothermic. Because the Gibbs free energy is negative, entropic effects seem to dominate over the enthalpic effects, and thus the ion pair formation of ionic liquids in DMF is exoergic.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*Tel: +48 426355846. E-mail: laurent@uni.lodz.pl.

REFERENCES

(1) Szejgis, A.; Bald, A.; Gregorowicz, J. Conductivity studies on some alkali metal iodides in aqueous *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide solutions at 298.15 K. *Monatsh. Chem.* **1997**, *128*, 1093–1100.

(2) Szejgis, A.; Bald, A.; Gregorowicz, J. Conductivity properties of some tetraalkylammonium iodides in the water + *N*,*N*-dimethylforma-mide mixtures at 298.15 K. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* **1997**, *35*, 165–173.

(3) Szejgis, A.; Bald, A.; Gregorowicz, J.; Kinart, C. M. Conductivity studies on LiBr, NaBr, KBr and CsBr solutions in binary mixtures of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide with water at 298.15 K. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* **1997**, 34, 189–199.

(4) Szejgis, A.; Bald, A.; Gregorowicz, J. Conductance studies of *i*- Am_3BuNI and $NaBPh_4$ and the limiting ionic conductance in Water + DMF mixtures at 298.15 K. *J. Mol. Liq.* **1998**, 75, 237–252.

(5) Szejgis, A.; Bald, A.; Gregorowicz, J.; Zurada, M. Conductance studies in mixtures of water with DMF at 298.15 K. Part VI. Lithium and sodium nitrates, sodium perchlorate and propionate, potassium picrate and thiocyanate, and limiting ionic conductance. *J. Mol. Liq.* **1999**, *79*, 123–136.

(6) Welton, T. Room-temperature ionic liquids. Solvents for synthesis and catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *99*, 2071–2083.

(7) Welton, T. Ionic liquids in catalysis. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2004, 148, 2459–2477.

(8) Wasserscheid, P.; Keim, W. Ionic liquids: new "solutions" for transition metal catalysis. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3772–3789.

(9) Wilkes, J. S. Properties of ionic liquid solvents for catalysis. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2004, 214, 11–17.

(10) Plechkova, N. V.; Seddon, K. R. Applications of ionic liquids in the chemical industry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 123–150.

(11) Endres, F.; Zein El Abedin, S. Air and water stable ionic liquids in physical chemistry. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 2101–2116.

(12) Wang, P.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Moser, J.-E.; Grätzel, M. A new ionic liquid electrolyte enhances the conversion efficiency of dyesensitized solar cells. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2003, 107, 13280–13285.

(13) Esperança, J. M. S. S.; Lopes, J. N. C.; Tariq, M.; Santos, L. M. N. B. F.; Magee, J. W.; Rebelo, L. P. N. Volatility of aprotic ionic liquids: A review. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2010, 55, 3–12.

(14) Seddon, K. R.; Stark, A.; Torres, M.-J. Influence of chloride, water, and organic solvents on the physical properties of ionic liquids. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2000**, *72*, 2275–2287.

(15) Sirieix-Plénet, J.; Gaillon, L.; Letellier, P. Behaviour of a binary solvent mixture constituted by an amphiphilic ionic liquid, 1-decyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide and water. Potentiometric and conducto-metric studies. *Talanta* **2004**, *63*, 979–986.

(16) Widegren, J. A.; Saurer, E. M.; Marsh, K. N.; Magee, J. W. Electrolytic conductivity of four imidazolium-based room-temperature ionic liquids and the effect of a water impurity. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2005**, *37*, 569–575.

(17) Stoppa, A.; Zech, O.; Kunz, W.; Buchner, R. The conductivity of imidazolium-based ionic liquids from (-35 to 195)°C. A. Variation of cation's alkyl chain. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2010**, *55*, 1768–1773.

(18) Zech, O.; Stoppa, A.; Buchner, R.; Kunz, W. The conductivity of imidazolium-based ionic liquids from (248 to 468) K. B. Variation of the anion. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2010**, *55*, 1774–1778.

(19) Liu, W.; Zhao, T.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, H.; Yu, M. The physical properties of aqueous solutions of the ionic liquid [bmim][BF₄]. *J. Solution Chem.* **2006**, *35*, 1337–1346.

(20) Vila, J.; Ginés, P.; Rilo, E.; Cabeza, O.; Varela, L. M. Great increase of the electrical conductivity of ionic liquids in aqueous solutions. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2006**, 247, 32–39.

(21) Zhu, A.; Wang, J.; Han, L.; Fan, M. Measurements and correlation of viscosities and conductivities for the mixtures of imidazolium ionic liquids with molecular solutes. *Chem. Eng. J* 2009, 147, 27–35.

(22) Comminges, C.; Barhdadi, R.; Laurent, M.; Troupel, M. Determination of viscosity, ionic conductivity, and diffusion coefficients in some binary systems: ionic liquids + molecular solvents. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2006**, *51*, 680–685.

(23) Zhang, J.; Wu, W.; Jiang, T.; Gao, H.; Liu, Z.; He, J.; Han, B. Conductivities and viscosities of the ionic liquid [bmim][PF6] + water + ethanol and [bmim][PF6] + water + acetone ternary mixtures. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2003**, *48*, 1315–1317.

(24) Xu, H.; Zhao, D.; Xu, P.; Liu, F.; Gao, G. Conductivity and viscosity of 1-allyl-3-methyl-imidazolium chloride + water and + ethanol from 293.15 to 333.15 K. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2005**, *50*, 133–135.

(25) Jarosik, A.; Krajewski, S. R.; Lewandowski, A.; Radzimski, P. Conductivity of ionic liquids in mixtures. *J. Mol. Liq.* **2006**, *123*, 43–50.

(26) Wong, C.-L.; Soriano, A. N.; Li, M.-H. Infinite dilution diffusion coefficients of [bmim]-based ionic liquids in water and its molar conductivities. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2009**, *40*, 77–83.

(27) Soriano, A. N.; Agapito, A. M.; Lee, L. J.; Lagumbay, I.; Caparanga, A. R.; Li, M.-H. Diffusion coefficients of aqueous ionic liquid solutions at infinite dilution determined from electrolytic conductivity measurements. *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.* **2011**, *42*, 258–264.

(28) Stoppa, A.; Hunger, J.; Buchner, R. Conductivities of binary mixtures of ionic liquids with polar solvents. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2009**, *54*, 472–479.

(29) Wang, H.; Wang, J.; Zhang, S.; Pei, Y.; Zhuo, K. Ionic association of the ionic liquids $[C_4mim][PF6]$, and $[C_nmim]Br$ in molecular solvents. *ChemPhysChem* **2009**, *10*, 2516–2523.

(30) Katsuta, S.; Imai, K.; Kudo, Y.; Takeda, Y.; Seki, H.; Nakakoshi, M. Ion pair formation of alkylimidazolium ionic liquids in dichloromethane. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2008**, *53*, 1528–1532.

(31) Katsuta, S.; Ogawa, R.; Yamaguchi, N.; Ishitani, T.; Takeda, Y. Ion Pair formation of 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium salts in water. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2007**, *52*, 248–251.

(32) Katsuta, S.; Shiozawa, Y.; Imai, K.; Kudo, Y.; Takeda, Y. Stability of ion pairs of bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)amide-based ionic liquids in dichloromethane. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2010**, *55*, 1588–1593.

(33) Shekaari, H.; Mousavi, S. Š. Conductometric studies of aqueous ionic liquids, 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolim halide, solutions at T = 298.15-328.15 K. Fluid Phase Equilib. **2009**, 286, 120–126.

(34) Nishida, T.; Tashiro, Y.; Yamamoto, M. Physical and electrochemical properties of 1-alkyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate for electrolyte. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2003**, *120*, 135–141.

(35) Shekaari, H.; Armanfar, E. Physical properties of aqueous solutions of ionic liquid, 1-propyl-3-methylimidazolium methyl sulfate, at T = (298.15 to 328.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2010**, 55, 765–772.

(36) Bešter-Rogač, M.; Hunger, J.; Stoppa, A.; Buchner, R. Molar conductivities and association constants of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate in methanol and DMSO. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2010**, *55*, 1799–1803.

(37) Bešter-Rogač, M.; Hunger, J.; Stoppa, A.; Buchner, R. 1-Ethyl-3methylimidazolium ethylsulfate in water, acetonitrile, and dichloromethane: Molar conductivities and association constants. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2011**, *56*, 1261–1267.

(38) Bešter-Rogač, M.; Habe, D. Modern advances in electrical conductivity measurements of solutions. *Acta Chim. Slov.* **2006**, *53*, 391–395.

(39) Barthel, J.; Feuerlein, F.; Neueder, R.; Wachter, R. Calibration of conductance cells at various temperatures. *J. Solution Chem.* **1980**, *9*, 209–219.

(40) Krestov, G. A., Afanas'ev, V. N., Efremova, L. S., Fizikokhimicheskie svoistva binarnykh rastvoritelei (Physicochemical Properties of Binary Solvents); Khimiya: Leningrad, Russia, 1988.

(41) Fuoss, R. M. Paired ions: Dipolar pairs as subset of diffusion pairs. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 1978, 75, 16–20.

(42) Fuoss, R. M. Conductance-concentration function for the paired ion model. J. Phys. Chem. **1978**, 82, 2427–2440.

(43) Justice, J.-C. An interpretation for the distance parameter of the Fuoss-Onsager conductance equation in the case of ionic association. *Electrochim. Acta* **1971**, *16*, 701–712.

(44) Renard, E.; Justice, J.-C. A comparison of the conductimetric behavior of cesium chloride in water-tetrahydrofuran, water-dioxane, and water-1,2-dimethoxyethane mixtures. *J. Solution Chem.* **1974**, *3*, 633–647.

(45) Fuoss, R. M.; Accascina, L. Electrolytic Conductance; Interscience: New York, 1959.